

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: <i>Paul</i>		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS: <i>Ann</i> <i>Paul: See term</i> <i>attacked.</i> <i>If anything comes up</i> <i>re the Churchill issue</i> <i>it is all in Klockho's</i> <i>201 in my safe # 582,</i> <i>top drawer. add</i>		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

★ GPO: 1957-O-489445

(47)

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCESMETHODSEXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

Nov 21, 196

11 Nov
5X-4750

and the education and a change of plenarieties, Mr. K. congratulated
the Soviet delegation on the occasion of the United Nations and of the
Soviet Union's 30th anniversary. Mr. K. said that the Soviet delegation
had expressed its confidence in the UN Charter. In the end, Mr. K.
said he had invited Mr. KAMINSKI for Mr. K. KARLIS to come to the office
in Riga on 10 May 1959, and had asked him several times to come. Mr.
K. KARLIS had been invited, according to Mr. K. KAMINSKI, to discuss
present news and to be accredited as a UN observer. Mr. K. KARLIS
had not accepted the invitation. In the course of a conversation
about his wife, Mrs. K. KARLIS, Mr. K. KARLIS had said that his wife
had been arrested in overcrossing. Mr. K. KARLIS had said that his wife
had been arrested to pick up her wife; and that she had been
arrested and detained by Mr. K. K. KARLIS' remark that in her case she had
been arrested, the women's position in Soviet Russia had been
discussed. Mr. K. KARLIS' wife was introduced as chief of the first
of Education.

See memo
attached

As a result of the above, the following recommendations are made:

1400161

to the State. There is no final decision on the matter. The project was submitted to the consideration of various authorities. Tikhon himself was not involved in the project of the Religious Cults and he can promote it. It should be noted that the project of the Religious Cults "in its present form" will be discussed again. Tikhon is not involved in the project and, if only this case does not pass, it will be rejected. The project was an attempt to reconcile the Orthodox Church and the State. Tikhon was convinced that the project was a good and effective one. He added that there was a proposal to ban the Orthodox religion. Tikhon was concerned about the Orthodox Church and the Julian Orthodox Church.

He wanted to know whether it had prevented the visit of the Pope or not or on what was working with Cardinal Wang. Since he asked this question, I also asked his personal opinion of, if his efforts to reconcile the members of the Chinese Church, then what would be suitable arrangements for the Chinese Church. He also put a question whether the Pope would come to China himself. He replied that this was heretical. He said that the Pope would come and could assure CHUNG that he would be well received, and that his representations to the people in China, and north America, would be successful, and he hoped to succeed.

... which advised him whether Cardinal RIBERY would return to
the Seminary. He replied that in her opinion the Cardinal would probably
gladly visit the Seminary and as to his permanent return ~~there~~ he would be
able to ask when and who the Soviet authorities would let him go home.
In the afternoon Cardinal RIBERY replied that Alberto was still a boy and would
not be able to go home. He also replied that Alberto was known to be very dangerous to the Red
Army and that he had planned much worse than to be captured
and that he was not afraid of death, that he wanted to die for his
country and for his God.

卷之三

... and he was quite aware that it was his
duty to maintain friendly relations with the
various pending and instant neighbors on the line.

After a month's delay, the Senate has at last begun to act on the bill, and concluded,

He then goes on to say that the intelligent, educated, and refined people of the world, are only his audience, and that he is not writing for the masses.

In the next section we will focus on some of the possible mechanisms that may be involved in the development of the disease.

...and the new gold standard will gradually displace the old gold standard in the world.
...and then through the years we can see the old gold standard disappear and the new gold standard take its place.
...and then we can see the old gold standard disappear and the new gold standard take its place.

He replied that indeed they (i.e. Kiev) had no right to file a
suit against the government with respect to the construction of the
dam, as the government had no right to file a suit against the
people of Kiev for the same reason. The people of Kiev had
no right to file a suit against the government, as the
government had no right to file a suit against the people of Kiev.

Dr. A. remarked that they could have some basis in sending a delegation group to Ukraine ahead by putting down basic issues on forming a new Union of Civic and Nationalists. Dr. A. went on to explain further, and said that they were not sending a delegation and were flying only for peace.

Chairman mentioned that next Sunday he was invited to a party given by Ukrainian emigres in New York, which was to be a private invited but who would be there because people who invited him in NY were not actually Ukrainian emigres but so-called exiles, who were merely spy agents. Dr. A. did not react to that remark directly but instead asked her whether he could meet some true emigres. It was suggested to him to see some of Ukrainian emigres and writers abroad and whether it would be possible to invite them to the Mission. Furthermore, he would like to meet some of Dr. A.'s friends. Before Dr. A. could reply, Chairman and Dr. A. told him that there were no emigres that they could invite to the Mission and in this respect Dr. A. was rebuffed by a request originating outside of the Mission.

Dr. A. said that he would gladly invite Dr. A.'s friends to the Mission. He needed to know whether Dr. A. would come because he would like to see him very much. Dr. A. replied that he would come from NY. Dr. A. asked whether it was possible to get acquainted with their studies, organizational structure, and the work they were doing.

Chairman wanted to have someone from Ukrainian writers organization abroad and when Dr. A. mentioned POLITIN, theyheri, Dr. DRABIKY commented "Oh yes, I know him".

Chairman said that it would be a good idea to invite POLITIN and DRABIKY also. He indicated that he already knew met DRABIKY.

Chairman mentioned a so round-table club and Dr. A. said that she would invite Dr. A. to the club.

Chairman also agreed that DRABIKY will discuss with Dr. A. all the arrangements concerning the meeting and Dr. A. will come in the afternoon after the meeting. Dr. A. said that he would come in the afternoon and Dr. A. will take care of his hotel expenses.

Chairman is an old friend who did not expect to meet him and something so important upon which he was to do something other than to go to a place where he was invited so much. He spoke with a dear reverence. Dr. A. said that he would be there.

He said that he believed that Kitev was very well educated and had natural sympathy with journalists and writers published in the newspaper. He said that he had a problem that he would like to be solved by the KGB. KGB replied that under the circumstances, he could not accept any kind of suggestion for Kitev.

He said that the KGB believed Kitev seemed to be very good and had natural sympathy in the debate. Also the more or less friendly attitude by Kitev towards KIRILOVSKY contrary to the usual procedure, which was to be hostile and ROGINSKI and ROGINSKAYA did not seem to be satisfied with Kitev's performance. At some point both felt quite embarrassed by Kitev's rudeness and tactlessness, as well as lack of proper preparation in particular, while they were also not happy about Kitev's lack of explanation of present situation in the Ukraine and his lack of knowledge and to obstruct him.

He said Kitev, ^{satirically} sounded like a typical low education proletarian, who had a tea house background, as talk was aggressive, simple, crude and vulgaristic. He said Kitev seemed to be on the same basic level as KIRILOVSKY, who also had a tea house background and raw eyes, with each other. He said Kitev seemed like a man somewhat on the right, somewhat on the left, somewhat moderate, tactful, sympathetic gentleman, quite simple in his speech and his behavior.

He said about himself that he was married, had a wife, who spoke the same Ukrainian and Russian; in 1940/41 he was in Novosibirsk and other cities of West Ukraine; the longest period he stayed in Kiev and other cities of West Ukraine; he knew also very well KIRILOVSKY and Kitev, who were close. He knew also very well KIRILOVSKY and Kitev, who were close. He said he spent some time in West Ukraine again and enjoyed "the people, their sentiments" in the West Ukraine as compared with the West Russia.

He said by the mentioned that in case it will be too difficult for Kitev, he might decide to visit KALAMARCHUK in Morocco, but he added that Kitev, Kitev, and KASATKIN seemed to be quite friendly to each other.

He said that during cultural exchange with Russia there was a lot of discussion, they were not interested in political and military questions, but Kitev suggested that Dr. KIRILOVSKY should come to Moscow to discuss the problems of a highly sensitive topic. Kitev said that

Mr. V. K. mentioned that he continues to follow the situation and pointed out ^{OUR} differentiated attitude in migration planning. Mr. V. K. replied that differentiated attitude was not consistent with our policy towards emigration but rather ^{OUR} individual personal supply.

~~SECRET~~

Translation

AUDIENCE WITH VICE PREMIER PETRO T. TRON'KO, 10 NOVEMBER 1965.
POINTS BROUGHT UP BY DR. MARIA K. AND EVA P.

Most of the Ukrainians in the emigration favor contacts with Ukrainians in the UkrSSR and see possibilities for mutual cooperation. However, it is necessary to start with a minimal mutually agreed on platform. In this regard let me say that we are concerned with national politics in the Ukraine and not with economic politics (because you yourselves see the economic defects and are continually making changes and borrowing practices from the capitalist world). It is our wish, however, to see distinct changes in the Ukraine:

1. Partnership of Russians with Ukrainians -- as nation and party.

2. Manifestation of Ukrainian national and human dignity. There is much more which can be done today, This is the fault of the Ukrainians -- the party and the cultural intelligentsia. The Government and Party should initiate derussification measures and not wait for the initiative to come from the people. They should talk between themselves in their own bureaus and keep their books in Ukrainian. In the schools and on the streets, one should hear the language of the nation of 45,000.00 people. American scholars predict that Russification soon will conquer all "Soviet people". (I am attaching a news item from the New York Times of 30 October 1965.) It is strange that the Ukrainian Government does not deny such sweeping statements. What are your press reporters for? And it is strange to me that the Ukraine is in union with Russia and permits this Russia to be presented as so imperialistic, even worse than in Czarist times.

3. Large numbers of newspapers and journals (Ukrainian) are changing over to the Russian language. The number of Ukrainian books published is ridiculously small.

4. It is startling, a scandal and a shame how the Ukrainian Party destroyed the Ukrainian Catholic Church (Greek Catholic Church). The Polish Party didn't liquidate

~~SECRET~~

the church in Poland because it knew that it would have destroyed Polish individualism. I (M. K.) personally heard several years ago a high Yugoslav official tell several people during a private meeting that the greatest mistake they (the Party in Yugoslavia) made was "to touch the Catholic church" and that they were going to "endeavor to correct this mistake". And we have evidences that their relations are improving. In Italy, France and in other Catholic countries there are large Communist parties but we see there respect for the CATHOLIC CHURCH. ONLY WE UKRAINIANS KNOW HOW TO DESTROY OURSELVES MOST EFFECTIVELY! Abolish administrative (because there is no formal law) KGB prohibition of the Ukrainian Catholic church in the Ukraine and permit it to exist and operate freely, and particularly stop persecuting our Catholic priests. Open at least a few Catholic churches and enter into negotiations with Rome regarding the Patriarchate. Use these historical times for the good and glory of the Ukraine!

5. How can we be mutually helpful in order to improve conditions in the Ukraine?

6. There is no Ukrainian representation (consulate) in America to which the Ukrainian emigration can turn with various questions. The Mission, as Shevchenko, the Ambassador to the United Nations stated, is not for the emigration.

7. Kolosova came, instigated a lot of noise, and everything has died down. Did it surprise you all, and perhaps frighten you, that the emigration did after all treat "Kolosova's brigade" nicely and was pleased with it? How do you in the Ukraine evaluate this "cultural exchange"? Why don't you discuss the main points as to where to begin?

8. The Ukrainian emigration reflects upon such events as the affair of the Minister of Foreign Affairs. That the Minister could be demoted to a lesser position can be understood by the political world. But it really seems strange, and is particularly painful for us, that a nation in which "all is in order" could do without a minister of foreign affairs for such a long time.

9. It is understood that the sudden death of a friend and colleague could upset your circle so much, but don't think it so strange when all sorts of stories are heard and read in the emigration, when you yourselves give a confusing account

SECRET

-3-

of the cause of the death of Ivan Pedanyuk. Editor Tolopko himself stated on the first page that Pedanyuk was walking down the steps. Others say that he fell off a ladder. Still others say he fell off a chair. You can't afford to make such mistakes.

10. If any of your cultural workers come, when and do you want the emigration to greet them?

11. M. K. and E. P. ask the Vice Premier of the Ukraine to be so kind as to convey these points to the Premier of the Ukraine, to our friend Kolosova, and to all those who decide such matters. We present these points from ourselves personally.

/s/ M. K. and E. P.